

## A REVIEW ON FOOD BORNE ILLNESS CAUSED BY STAPHYLOCOCCAL AUREUS

**Afshan Jabeen,**

Meenakshi Academy of Higher Education and Research, Chennai, India.

**Dr Kamalraj Mohan**

Assistant Professor

Department of Microbiology, Meenakshi Medical College Hospital & Research Institute,  
Kanchipuram, India.

**Co-Guide:**

**Dr Mir Akaram Ali**

Department of Microbiology, Princess Durru Shehvar Children and General Hospital, Purani Haveil,  
Hyderabad, India.

**Suresh**

Assistant Professor:

Department of Microbiology, Meenakshi Medical College Hospital & Research Institute,  
Kanchipuram, India.

**Arumugam**

Scientist

Central Research laboratory, Meenakshi Medical College Hospital & Research Institute, Kanchipuram,  
India.

**Corresponding author:**

Dr Kamalraj Mohan

Assistant Professor

Department of Microbiology

Meenakshi Medical College Hospital & Research Institute, Kanchipuram, India.

**Email id:** Kamalraj2019@gmail.com

---

Cite this paper as: Afshan Jabeen, Dr Kamalraj Mohan Dr Mir Akaram Ali Suresh Arumugam (2024), A Review On Food Borne Illness Caused By Staphylococcal Aureus. *Frontiers in Health Informatics*, Vol.13, (8). 2413-2421

---

### Abstract:

Staphylococcus aureus is a major human pathogen that may produce a variety of toxins causing food poisoning and various infections in animals and humans. Food poisoning due to bacterial toxins can be caused by the ingestion of exotoxins, which are preformed in the food, or by the ingestion of food containing large numbers of bacterial cells which then release endotoxins in the gastrointestinal tract. The pathogenic microorganism of public health importance that may be transmitted through contaminated food. Due to its multidrug resistance and virulence, staphylococcus aureus has become a major concern to public health and food safety in many countries. Staphylococcus aureus food poisoning is caused by the ingestion of food containing enterotoxins produced by some strains of staphylococcus aureus. Hemolysis

is one of the virulence factors of *Staphylococcus aureus*. It is said that *staphylococcus aureus* may produce three hemolysin designated as Alpha, Beta, Gamma. *Staphylococcus* is a very common organism capable of producing several enterotoxins (SEs) that cause intoxication symptoms of varying intensity in humans when ingested through contaminated food. Genes encoding hemolysin were amplified with specific primers by using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique. The aim of the present review is to obtain the comprehensive understanding of the causes of toxins, a long list of toxin effects and role of hemolysin in the pathogenesis and different biochemical and immunological methods used for detection.

**Keywords:** Food Poisoning, Bacterial agents, Toxins.

## Introduction:

Safe food and water is a public health requirement. safety refers to all the hazards that make food injurious to health. These hazards arise from improper agricultural practices, poor sanitary and hygiene conditions at all stages of the food, lack of preventive controls in food processing operation, misuse of food additives and chemicals colored with inappropriate storage and handling may lead to food poisoning. Specific concerns about food hazards are mainly chemical microbiological, pesticide residues, veterinary drug residues and allergic compounds<sup>1,2</sup>. Bacterial food borne pathogens are considered the most frequently implicated biological agents in food poisoning syndrome in humans, often called as food born illness. Food handlers during production and (storage), the obtaining of safe and nutritious food products for the consumers is considered to be a great challenge for food industry, worldwide .

The globalization of food trade increases the potential to spread food borne hazards around the world. Concerns regarding the contamination of food with chemicals and microbial hazards in recent years resulted in banning of imported food products by nation<sup>3</sup>. *Staphylococcus aureus* is an important food-borne pathogen because of its ability to produce a wide range of extra cellular protein toxins and virulence factors that contribute to pathogenicity of the organism<sup>4</sup>. The pathogenicity of *Staphylococcus aureus*, is related to the production of a wide variety of exoproteins, including alpha, beta hemolysins which contributes to its ability to cause diseases in many mammalian species<sup>5</sup>. Early methods for the assay of bacteria toxins were based on in vivo (i.e., animal challenge tests) or in vitro tests (i.e., tissue culture). Later, immunological tests were developed. Molecular biology techniques such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), real time PCR and DNA hybridization have been developed and become popular for pathogen identification<sup>6</sup>. Although these technologies can detect low number of bacterial cells, they usually need several hours. Moreover, such techniques required prior cultural enrichment and bacterial DNA isolation, preparation of enzyme reaction mixtures and expensive equipment.<sup>(7)</sup>

The pathogenic microorganisms of public health importance that may be transmitted through contaminated food are Bacteria, Virus, Protozoa, Trematodes, Cestodes and Nematodes. Among these the most important organism which causes food borne poisoning include bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Staphylococcus aureus* is an extremely common pathogenic bacterium that can be found in a number of different food varieties, including mixed food (Pasta dishes, Salads) meat and meat products, eggs products, vegetables, baked goods and cheeses<sup>4</sup>.

Due to its multidrug resistance and virulence, *staphylococcus aureus* has become a major concern to public health and food safety in many countries.<sup>(5)</sup> *Staphylococcus aureus* is a facultative aerobic gram + positive coccus, it is non motile and catalase and coagulase positive. Cells are spherical single or paired cocci, or form grape like clusters (staphylo means grape in Greek). The *staphylococcus* cell wall is resistant to lysozyme and sensitive to lysostaphin, which specifically cleaves the pentaglycin bridge of *staphylococcus* species. Some *staphylococcus aureus* strains are able to produce *staphylococcus* enterotoxins and are causative agents of *staphylococcus* food poisoning.<sup>(6)</sup>

*Staphylococcus aureus* is considered one of the main causes of food borne intoxication, being widespread bacteria throughout nature. Its food poisoning effect is related to the ingestion of the preformed enterotoxin.

## TYPES OF HEMOLYSIN:

Staphylococcus aureus is able to produce nosocomial and invasive infection in human. Bacteriologists have sought to describe roles to the many toxins produced by Staphylococcus aureus particularly in relation to pathogenesis and threat to life. It is said that Staphylococcus aureus may produce three hemolysins designated as Alpha, Beta, Gamma. (7)

Causative agents of food-borne outbreaks are recorded:

Table:1

causative agents	Outbreaks [N=530]	Cases [N=6451]	Hospitalization [N=872]	Death [N=7]
Salmonella Sp (Enteritis, Typhimurium, Heidelberg & other serotypes)	63.8	47.7	16.8	100
Staphylococcus aureus	16	25.6	17.1	0
Clostridium perfringens	5.1	12.3	0.5	0
Bacillus cereus	2.8	3.7	10.0	0
Histamine	3.8	1.4	30.4	0
Other pathogens (Campylobacter, Sp, Dirophysis, C.botulinum, Shillelagh Sp, Calci virus, HAV, Vibrio Sp, E.coli Sp)	8.5	9.2	7.6	0

(8)

The types of food incidences and number of people effected are described in table:2.

TABLE 2:

Place	Incidences	No of people affected	Microorganisms	Food
Party	3	98	Salmonella	Veg-food (Coconut balls, Fish, Sand

2024; Vol 13: Issue 8				Open Access
			Paratyphoid A Var durazoo S.aureus	witch)
School	2	135	Staphylococcus aureus	Soybean milk & bhalla

(10)

The percentage of contamination seen in some of the few food materials are seen in frequent food materials such as meat, Khoa are seen in table 3.

Table:3

Type of food	Bacteria	% of contamination
Meat	Staphylococcus spp	21
Khoa	Staphylococcus spp	20-30

(11)

### 3.DETECTION METHOD

Immunological assays are are simpler and cheaper than biological assays and have therefore been widely adopted. The bacterial toxins in the literature and commercial sources of kits are depleted in the table.

Table:4

Organism	Toxin	Immunoassay method	REFERANCE
Staphylococcus aureus	SEB++	ELISA	12,13
	All enterotoxins	ELFA	14,15
	SEA, SEB	EIA	16,17
	SEA, SEB, SEE	ELISA	12,13
	SEA	LAMP	18

Staphylococcal food poisoning is caused by the ingestion of food containing enterotoxins produced by some strains of Staphylococcal aureus To date, seven serologically distinct enterotoxins haven been identified and characterized (A,B,C1,C2,C3,D&E).

The SET-RPLA kit (Oxide) is a latex-based immunological test for the simultaneous detection of staphylococcal enterotoxins A,B,C&D in food extracts and culture filtrates.

The TECRA Staphylococcal Enterotoxin Visual Immuno assay(19) (Bio Enterprises Pty Ltd,Rosevilla,Australia) is a rapid microtitre plate based screening test which uses polyvalent anti-sera to detect staphylococcal enterotoxins A-E at concentrations down to 1ng ml<sup>-1</sup> in foods and culture filtrates. Results can be read visually with a colour card or by measuring the absorbance at 414nm in a

plate reader.

The VIDAS staphylococcal enterotoxin (SET) assay (20) (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France) is an automated enzyme-linked fluorescent immunoassay (ELFA) for the simultaneous detection of staphylococcal enterotoxins A, B, C1, C2, C3, D & E in foods. In this assay, an aliquot of food extract is placed into a reagent strip which is then loaded into the VIDAS instruments.

VIDAS had good sensitivity for enterotoxins A, B, D & E, but was less sensitive for the C enterotoxins. With artificially contaminated foods, the detection sensitivity ranged from  $<1\text{ ng ml}^{-1}$  -  $1.5\text{ ng ml}^{-1}$ , depending on the toxin and food (21).

#### 4. BIOCHEMICAL TEST:

The following biochemical tests and characteristics of bacterial strains were taken into consideration Gram staining, Catalase, Oxidase tests, Colony pigmentation, hemolysin, tube coagulase test (Rabbit plasma supplied by (22) NCIPD, SOFIA BULGARIA), VP Test (ONPG (Beta-galactosidase, 4mg disk, Hi media, India), test with polymyxin B (300 units disk, Oxoid UK), acid from mannitol determined on mannitol salt agar (22) NCIP, Bulgaria), utilization of trehalose (22) NCIPD, SOFIA, BULGARIA) and maltose (23) MKB test, Rosina, Slovakia republic). All tests were carried out according to the manufacturers instructions and in compliance with the general bacteriology procedures.

**4.1 LATEX AGGLUTINATION:** Staphylococcus aureus rapid latex kit (24) (ATLAS MEDICAL, CAMBRIDGE, UK) for the detection of Staphylococcus aureus in culture was used following precisely the instruction of the company.

##### 4.2 ELISA:

ELISA was first proposed by Swedish scholars Engvall and Perlmann. The way of ELISA has become a new method for the determination of the target substances (25,26) provided a new idea for microbial detection by the method of ELISA. Nagaraj (27) and Zoha et al. (28) established double antibody sandwich method to detect SA enterotoxin G and enterotoxin M. Li et al. (29) used cell fusion technology to prepare anti-SEA monoclonal antibodies, its sensitivity of SEA can be as high as  $1.56\text{ mg}$ . The ELISA method is practical, specific and sensitive, and its detection range is at  $\text{mg}$  and  $\text{pg}$  levels. It can directly detect enterotoxin in solution and is suitable for qualitative test {30,31}. However, the external environment can influence the ELISA detection, and this method has a degree of cross reaction to similar compounds (32,33).

##### 4.3 ELFA:

The results of ELFA are expressed by fluorescence intensity which is more intuitive than ELISA. Hennekinne et al. (34) used this technique to detection limit enterotoxin A to  $0.09\text{ ng/ml}$  VIDAS system detect SA enterotoxins and the minimum detection value is less than  $0.25\text{ ng/ml}$  (32). ELFA is a highly sensitive and reduces the detection limit and improves the detection sensitivity (30). However, SA and its enterotoxins are inactivated during food processing, which may lead to incorrect results (35).

##### 4.4 Nucleic acid probe:

Nucleic acid probe technology detects microbes based on the principle of complementary base pairing. Firstly applied to detect E. coli (36). Now the technology has been successfully applied to detect SA DNA probe technique amplifies target bacteria by designing specific primers based on highly conserved nucleic acid sequence (33). Its greatest feature is strong specificity, accuracy and sensitivity. Gao et al. (37) used nucleic gene as probe to detect SA in laboratory animals. The sensitivity of this method can reach  $1\text{ pg}$  genomic DNA, and the results are consistent with bacteriological tests. Xue

(38) and Chen et al. {39} used nucleic acid probes to detect bacterial colonies respectively minimum of  $10^6$  CFU/ml and  $10^7$  CFU/ml. The initial level of 10 CFU of SA is detected in the overnight cultures pre-concentrated food samples. Bacteria need to be enriched before detection, which increases the detection time (3).

#### 4.5 PCR based on nuclei gene:

DNA was extracted without using a commercial kit. Bacterial suspension were prepared in sterile distilled water, boiled and centrifuged for 5 min at 1200g (22). The concentration and purity of DNA extracts were determined by DNA/RNA spectro photometer Gene Quant 1300 at A 260/A280. The DNA extracts were stored at -20°C until the beginning of the trials. PCR was run as described by Braksetad et al. (22), (43) with an expected amplicon size of 270bp.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion the methods developed in the study could be utilized as an effective detection tool for the early on site detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* in food samples.

This paper reviewed types of toxins produced by *Staphylococcus aureus* and the immunological techniques such as ELISA, EIA, ELFA, LAMP to detect the percentage of toxin contamination in particular foods.

Example:

Rudy, K., H.K. Nogra. B. Moen. H. Nissen. S. Bredholt. T. Moretro. K. Naterstad. And A. Holck. 2002. Development and Application of new nucleic acid-based technologies for Microbial Community analyses in foods. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 78: 171-180.

## REFERENCES:

1. Sundershan Rao Vemula (2012) National Institute of nutrition, Hyderabad India. 1,395 CITATIONS. *British Food Journal*. May 2012. DOI:10.1108/00070701211229954.
2. Naveen Kumar and Kalpagam Polasa (2012) Indian Council of Medical Research-National Institute of Nutrition. 284 CITATIONS. Food borne diseases in Indian review. *British Food Journal* Vol. 114 11SS: 5pp661-680.
3. Bhat (2004) Safety and quality of processed foods, *Indian Food Industry*, Vol. 23 No. 6, pp. 58-61.
4. Zelency et al (2015) Development of a reference material for *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxin A in cheese: feasibility study, processing, homogeneity and stability assessment. *Food Chem* 2015; 168: 241-6.
5. Sheet et al. 2017 Miao et al (2017) LAMP assay for the detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* in bovine mastitis milk samples. *Mol Cell Probes* 2016; 30-320.
6. 18,19 Kalman Imre, Viorel Herman and Adriana Morar June 2010 Department of Animal and Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine 300645, Romania. Department of Infectious Diseases and Preventive Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Banat's University of Agriculture Sciences Veterinary Medicine 300645, Romania. Received 17 April 2020; Accepted 15 June 2020; Published 24 June 2020. Copyright 2020 Kalman Imre et al.
7. Wiseman 1975. *Bacteriological Reviews*, Dec 1975, p. 317-344. Copyright 1976 American Society for Microbiology.

8. Haeghebaert et al. 2002 Genetics & Molecular Research 2(1): 63-76(2003-).
9. Haeghebaert et al. 2002 Genetic and Molecular Research 2(1) 63-76 (2003).
10. Reported food borne diseases outbreaks due to bacteria in during 1980-2009. Safety and quality of processed foods, Indian Food Industry, Vol 23 No.6pp58-61.
11. Common food borne pathogens & their percentage of contamination in Indian foods. Reported food borne diseases outbreak due to bacteria in India during 1980-2009.
12. Vazquez, F.; Gonzalez, E. A.; Garabel, J.L.; Valderrama, S.; Blanco, J.; Bolada, S. B. Development and Evolution of an ELISA to detect Escherichia Coli K88 [F4] Fimbrial antibody levels. J. Med. Microbiol. 1996,44, 453-463. [CrossRef] [PubMed].
13. Song, C.; Liu, C.; Wu, S.; Li, H.; Guo, H.; Yang, B.; Qiu, S.; Li, J.; Liu, L.; Zeng, H. Development of a lateral flow colloidal gold Immunoassay strip for the simultaneous detection of Shigella body and Escherichia Coli 0157: H7 in bread, Milk and Jelly samples. Food Control 2016, 59, 345-351.
14. Rozand, C.; Feng, P.C.H. Specificity analysis of a novel phage-derived from ligate in an Enzyme-Linked Fluorescent Assay for detecting Escherichia Coli 0157:H7. J. Food protection. 2009, 72,1078-1081 [CrossRef].
15. De Giusti, M.; Tufi, D.; Aurigemma, C.; Del Cimmuto, A.; Trinti, F.; Mannocci, A.; Boccia, A. Detection of Escherichia Coli 0157 in raw and cooked meat: Comparison of conventional direct culture method and Enzyme-Linked Fluorescent Assay [ELFA]. Ital. J. Public Health 2011, 8, 28.
16. Qadri, A., Ghosh, S.; Prakash, K ; Kumar, R.; Moudgil, K.D.; Talwar, G.P. Sandwich enzyme Immunoassay for detection of Salmonella-typhoid. J. Immunoassay. 1990,11, 251-270. [CrossRef] [PubMed].
17. Chapman, P.A.; Malo, A.T.C.; Siddons, C.A.; Harkin, M. Use of commercial enzyme Immunoassay and Immunomagnetic separation system for detecting Escherichia Coli 0157 in bovine fecal samples. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1997, 63, 2549-2553. [PubMed].
18. Xiaolan Tian, Junli Feng and Yi Wang. Institute of Seafood, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou 310012 PR China and Key Lab of aquatic Products Processing of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou 310012, PR China.
19. Park, C.E., Akhtar, M. and Rayman, M.K (1992) Simple solutions to false-positive staphylococcal enterotoxins assays with seafood tested with an immunosorbent assay kit (TECRA). Applied and Environment Microbiology 59, 2210-2213.
20. Peterkin, P.L and Sharpe, A.N (1984) Rapid enumeration of Staphylococcus aureus in foods by direct demonstration of toxicogenic colonies on membrane filters by enzyme immunoassay. Applied and Environment Microbiology 47, 1047-1053.
21. Lapeyre C, Quillet, S., Buckwald, S. and Attrache, V. (1994) Rapid detection of staphylococcal enterotoxins using the SET kit and automated VIDAS system from bioMerieux. In Food Safety 94 Ed. Amgar A. pp 318-319. Laval: ASEPT, Aseptic Packaging Association.
22. Nikolina Velizarova Rusenova Anton Georgiev Rusenov2 NCIPD, Sofia, Bulgaria. Mac Vet Rev 2017; 40 (1): 29-36
23. MKB test, Rosina, Slovakia republic.
24. ATLAS MEDICAL, CAMBRIDGE, UK.
25. E. Engvall, P. Perlmann, Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) quantitative assay of immunoglobulin G, J. Immunochemistry 8 (1971) 871-874.



26. H.H. Lin, R.M. Lister, M.A. Cousin, Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of mold in tomato puree, *J. Food Sci* 51(1986) 180-183.
27. N.Sowmya, R. Shylaja, K. Joseph, et al., Development of IgY based sandwich ELISA for detection of staphylococcal enterotoxin G (SEG), an egc toxin, *J.Int J Food Microbiol.* 237(2016) 136-141.
28. Y.Zhao, A. Zhu, J. Tang, et al., Identification and measurement of staphylococcal enterotoxin M from the *Staphylococcus* isolate associated with staphylococcal food poisoning, *J. Lett Appl Microbiol.* 65(2017) 27-34.
29. Q. Li, J.H. Wu, S.Gao, et al., Establishment and application of detection method for staphylococcal enterotoxin A, *L. Chinese J Pharmacology Toxicology* 32.
30. L. Feng, J.C. Huang, X. Liu, et al., Research progress on rapid detection of food borne bacterial pathogens, *J. Food Sci.* 33(2012) 332-339.
31. I. Sospedra, J.M. Soriano, J. Mane, Enterotoxionmics: the omic sciences in the study of staphylococcal toxins analyzed in food matrices, *J. Food Res Int.* 54(2013) 1052-1060.
32. J.H Yoon, S Wei, D.H. Oh, A highly selective enrichment broth combined with real-time PCR for detection of *Staphylococcal aureus* in food samples.
- 33 Q.P. Wu, H.Y. Fan, J.M. Zhang, Review on immune and new molecular detective techniques for food borne bacterial pathogens, *J. Food Sci.* 26(2005) 269-273.
34. J.A. Hennekinne, F. Guillier, S. Perelle, et al., Intralaboratory validation according to the ENISO 16 140 Standard of the Vidas SET2 detection kit for use in official controls of *Staphylococcal enterotoxins* in milk products, *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 102(2007) 1261-1272.
35. J.Q. Shen, J. Ou, L. Lin, et al., Quantitative detection of the *Staphylococcal enterotoxin A* in milk using ELISA and ELFA Kit, *J. Microbiol.* 38 (2018) 42-46.
36. C.B Louise, T.G. Obrig, Specific interaction of *E.coli* O 157:H7 derived shiga-like toxin II with human renal endothelial cell, *J.VJ Infect Dis.* 172(1995) 1397-1401.
37. Z,Q. Gao, H.D. Li, Z.M. He, Study on application of DNA probe for detecting *Staphylococcus aureus* in laboratory animals, *J. Chin J Lab Animal Sci.* 14(2004).
38. L.G. Xue, J.H. Liu, Q.K. Wang, et al., Development of nuclei acid probe method for determination of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *J. Chin J Biological.* 23(2010) 91\_94.
39. B. Chen, X.R. Huang, M.Y. Tang, et al., Study on the rapid detection *Staphylococcus aureus* with the gene probe method in foodstuffs, *J. Modern Preventive Medicine.* 34(2007), 1017-1018+1021.
40. Dinges, M.M., Orwin, P.M.,Schlievert, P.M., 2000.Enterotoxin of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, 13, 16-34.
41. Da Silva, R.E., Boechat, J.U.D.,Martins, J.C.D., Ferreira, W.P.B., Sequera,A.P.S., and Da silva, N.2005. Hemolysin Production by *Staphylococcus aureus* Species Isolated from Mastitic Goat Milk in Brazilian Dairy Herd. *Science. Small rum.res.*, 56,271-275.
42. Sudhaharan S, Vanjari L, Mamidi N et al. Evaluation of LAMP assay using phenotypic tests and conventional PCR for detection of nuc and mecA genes among clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus* spp. *J Clin Diagn Res* 2015;9:DC6-9.
43. Kei M,Takuya M, Sachio Y et al. The direct boilLAMP method: a simple and rapid diagnostic method



for cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Parasitol Int* 2014;63:785-9.