

Outcome of Preoperative Steroid Injection on Wound Drainage after Modified Radical Mastectomy

Bezan Baloch¹, Fida Ahmed², Abdullah Khan³, Nazeer Ahmed Sasoli⁴, Samina karim⁵, Saleem Javed⁶

¹Assistant Professor General Surgery unit 4 Bolan Medical Complex Hospital Quetta Balochistan

²Associate professor General Surgery Unit 4 Bolan Medical Complex Hospital Quetta Balochistan

³Assistant Professor General Surgery Unit-1 Sandeman provincial hospital Quetta Balochistan

⁴Senior Registrar General Surgery unit 3 Sandeman provincial hospital Quetta Balochistan

⁵Associate professor General Surgery unit 3 Sandeman provincial hospital Quetta Balochistan

⁶Assistant Professor General Surgery Unit-1 Sandeman provincial hospital Quetta Balochistan

Cite this paper as: Bezan Baloch, Fida Ahmed, Abdullah Khan, Nazeer Ahmed Sasoli, Samina karim, Saleem Javed (2024). Outcome of Preoperative Steroid Injection on Wound Drainage after Modified Radical Mastectomy. *Frontiers in Health Informatics*, 13 (8) 3635-3640

Abstract

Background: The prevalence of Breast cancer is increasing. Modified radical mastectomy is one of the available treatment options, is performed with the goal of curing the condition. More than 35% of patients had morbidity following surgery.

Objective: The aim of this study was to determine the outcome of Preoperative Steroid Injection on Wound Drainage after Modified Radical

Methodology: This current study was carried out at the department of surgery Bolan Medical Complex Hospital Quetta Balochistan for a period of six months from January, 2023 -June, 2024 after taking permission from the ethical board of the hospital. A total of 62 females with breast cancer and were planned for modified radical mastectomy were included. The patients were assigned computer-generated numbers to form two equal groups, A and B. An hour before to surgery, group A received a 120 mg a day (3 ml) injection of depomedrol, while group B received 3 ml of regular saline. The same consultant surgeon conducted MRM on both groups using the same dissection technique, which is steel scalpel dissection. Two suction drains, one at the breast site and one at the axillary area, were positioned at the end of the treatment. Every patient received the same routine preoperative and postoperative treatment. After the drain was taken out, the volume of the drain was measured using operational criteria. Data was analyzed through SPSS 25.

Results: A total of 62 females participated in this study. 48.3% were diagnosed with stage II breast cancer, and 51.7% had stage I. When the mean drainage volume (in milliliters) of the two groups was compared, it was found that in individuals having a modified radical mastectomy, a single preoperative steroid dose reduced mean drainage volume more than control. This variance was not noteworthy (t-test value of 4.078 and value of P 0.048). There was no impact of these effect modifiers on the mean drainage volume for age, Body mass index, symptoms duration , and illness stage using t-test.

Conclusion: Our findings showed that that the preventive steroid injections prescription in women following modified radical mastectomy were more effective in lowering mean drainage volume for female participants with stage I and II breast cancer when compared to normal saline

Key words: Steroid injections; Mastectomy; drainage volume

Introduction

One of the most prevalent cancers in women is breast cancer, also known as CA breast (CAB), which is thought to kill

one out of every four women. The data on CAB in Pakistan is imprecise since fewer instances are reported, but a thorough analysis of the statistics indicates that CAB accounts for 34.6% of female cancers. CAB accounts for 23% of all malignancies in postmenopausal women.¹ In Pakistan, the prevalence of CAB is increasing. Modified radical mastectomy (MRM), one of the available treatment options, is performed with the goal of curing the condition. More than 35% of patients had morbidity following surgery, including increased seroma development and wound drainage.² Following MRM, a number of conditions are recommended to lessen drainage and the likelihood of seroma development. Postoperative drainage in MRM can be decreased by using pressure dressings, flap fixation methods, OK-432, preoperative steroid injections, and ultrasonic scalpels.³⁻⁶ Steroids may reduce the development of seromas and decrease inflammation in the injured region. In certain procedures, the steroids have been given intermittently to reduce localized wound leakage. A randomized controlled experiment was carried out by Khan, M. A. (2017), to examine the impact of a single steroid injection dosage on postoperative drainage following MRM. The findings indicated that the steroid group had less drainage than the control group, with the steroid group having 755.4 ± 65 ml and the control group having 928.3 ± 102.5 ml (p value <0.005).⁷ Increased seroma production and wound drainage are invariably linked to morbidity, including infections, delayed healing, and hospital discharge. Chemotherapy or radiation therapy may be delayed as a result of the healing delay. There is just one research in Pakistan.² Regarding this particular subject, there is little national and international information available. Therefore the current study was carried out to find out the Effect of Preoperative Steroid Injection on Wound Drainage after Modified Radical Mastectomy.

Materials and method

This current study was carried out at the department of surgery Bolan Medical Complex Hospital Quetta Balochistan for a period of six months from January, 2023 -June, 2024 after taking permission from the ethical board of the hospital. A total of 62 females with breast cancer and were planned for modified radical mastectomy were included. The age of the study population was from 30 to 60 years. Individuals with long-term medical comorbidities and those who were already taking steroids, those who had previously received radiation and pregnant or nursing mothers were not excluded. After each patient was admitted, formal informed consent was obtained. A thorough history, a clinical examination, and pertinent investigations were used to evaluate the patient. The pre-anesthesia workup and assessment were completed. The patients were assigned computer-generated numbers to form two equal groups, A and B. An hour before to surgery, group A received a 120 mg a day (3 ml) injection of depomedrol, while group B received 3 ml of regular saline. The same consultant surgeon conducted MRM on both groups using the same dissection technique, which is steel scalpel dissection. Two suction drains, one at the breast site and one at the axillary area, were positioned at the end of the treatment. Every patient received the same routine preoperative and postoperative treatment. After the drain was taken out, the volume of the drain was measured using operational criteria. A custom created proforma that is attached as Annexure A contains all of the data. Data was analyzed by SPSS 14. The mean and standard deviation was used to express the quantitative variables of age, BMI, illness duration, and drainage volume. The stage of illnesses (qualitative variable) was reported as frequency and percentage. The independent sampling t test was used to compare the drainage volumes of the two groups. Age, BMI, disease duration, and disease stage were among the factors that were stratified and then further examined using the independent sample t test. A P value of less than 0.05 was deemed significant.

Results

Over all 62 females participated in this study whose mean body mass index was 26.9 ± 3.38 kg/m², and symptom mean duration was 1.6 ± 0.62 years. The drainage volume in A group was 573 ± 116.63 ml, whereas in B group was 1020 ± 159.9 ml. 48.3% were diagnosed with stage II breast cancer, and 51.7% had stage I. When the mean drainage volume (in milliliters) of the two groups was compared, it was found that in individuals having a modified radical mastectomy, a single preoperative steroid dose reduced mean drainage volume more than control. This variance was noteworthy (t-test value 4.078 and P value was 0.048). **Table 1.** There was no impact of these effect modifiers on the mean drainage volume for age, Body mass index, period of symptoms, and illness stage using independent t-test as shown **table (2-5).**

Table 1. Comparison of the Mean Drainage Volumes of the Two Groups by Independent T-Test				
Groups	Frequency	Mean± standard deviation	T test	
A	31	573±116.63	F	Significance
B	31	1020±159.9	4.078	0.048

Table 2. Age's Impact on Mean Drainage Volume.				
Age groups	Frequency	Mean± standard deviation	T test	
Early Middle Age	25	879.5±221.3	F	Significance
Late Middle Age	37	823.6±226	0.001	0.978

Table 3: Effect of body mass index on Drainage Volume				
Category of BMI	Frequency	Mean± standard deviation	T test	
normal BMI (20-25 Kg/m ²)	22	841.7±221.9	F	Significance
Abnormal BMI (>25 Kg/m ²)	41	848±227.9	0.001	0.978

Table 4: Results of Disease Duration Using Mean Drainage Volume				
Disease duration	Frequency	Mean± standard deviation	T test	
Short duration less than one years	25	824±239.6	F	Significance
Long duration more than one year	37	860.5±215.1	0.402	0.529

Table 5: on Mean Drainage Volume the effect of Breast Cancer Stage				
Disease stage	Frequency	STD and mean	T test	
Stage 1	32	815.9±235.9	F	Significance
Stage 2	30	878±209.7	0.041	0.840

Discussion

More than 1 out of 10 new cancer diagnoses in women are for breast cancer, making it the most prevalent disease diagnosed in this population.¹ According to the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), 6.3 million women have survived breast cancer in the past five years, whereas 1.7 million new instances of the disease were recorded in 2012.⁷ In 2018, the number of fatalities from breast cancer grew to 14%, while the incidence of the disease climbed to more than 20%.⁸ Furthermore, compared to its neighbors, Pakistan has a roughly 2.5-fold greater incidence of breast cancer⁹. Contrary to what is seen in the West, one intriguing element about Pakistan is the participation of younger

women in CAB. Surgery is a crucial step in the multimodal management of CAB, which is aided by hormone treatment, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy. Modified radical mastectomy (MRM) with axillary clearance is thought to be the most often used surgical technique.⁸ Numerous intraoperative and postoperative issues can arise from AB surgery, making it a complicated procedure.

With a frequency of 35–80%, seroma development is the most prevalent of these problems.^{10–14} Preventing seroma development is crucial because it leads to longer hospital stays, drawn-out outpatient follow-ups, and delays in later adjuvant treatments, all of which worsen patient suffering.¹⁵ It is very controversial if steroids can stop seroma production. The current study found that the mean drainage amount in the normal saline group were 1020 ml, but the mean draining volume of women who underwent the modified radical mastectomy procedure and received an injection of steroids an hour before was 672 ml. This difference between the groups was statistically significant (value of P equal to 0.048). The drainage volume significantly lower among people with stage 1 breast cancer, those with a normal BMI (20–25 kg/m²), those in the age group 46–60 years and those with a short illness duration (<1 year). Nevertheless, these results were not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$).

There has been a lot of data in recent years that suggests seroma is not only a simple deposit of serous fluid but rather an exudative discharge that results from acute inflammation following surgical trauma.¹⁶ This idea is supported by the seroma fluid's cytokines and proteinases and their inhibitors.¹⁷ According to evaluations, immunomodulatory substances are useful in halting the development of seroma.¹⁸ One such drug was 5-fluorouracil in a rat model, and sapylin was utilized in a human model. Therefore, steroids are also effective anti-inflammatory medicines that can be used to manage seroma. Glucocorticoids are commonly given as local intramuscular injections or intraarticular injections to treat a variety of inflammatory disorders, including rheumatic fever, as well as allergy problems. The effectiveness of steroids was also assessed in a variety of surgical procedures, including neck and scalp surgery, cosmetic surgery, heart surgery, and colon resection. In an RCT, Taghizadeh and colleagues.¹⁹ evaluated the impact of steroids on seroma recurrence following breast reconstruction utilizing the latissimus dorsi. Following the first aspiration of the seroma, the authors administered a single dose of triamcinolone to one group while normal saline to the other group, and they monitored for recurrence.¹⁹ and observed findings that were comparable to those of the current investigation, namely that patients who got steroid injections had lower mean drainage volumes than those who got normal saline. The number of punctures, total volume of fluid drained, and time it took for a seroma to form were all statistically significantly reduced when Axelsson et al.⁹ matched the effects of injecting local steroids in the mastectomy cavity with normal saline at the time of drain removal. Furthermore, Qvamme et al.¹² carried out a randomised controlled research wherein participants having mastectomy received a single steroid injection in one group and normal saline in another.. They also reported a noteworthy decrease in the drainage volume in the steroid group as compared to normal saline. Khan et al. (2017) found that in the steroid group the mean drainage was 749.4 ± 64 vs. 928.3 ± 102.5 ml, correspondingly, substantially lower than that of the control group.² These results are consistent with a recent study that shown that when administered prophylactically to patients having modified radical mastectomy, steroids are superior to normal saline in terms of decreased drainage volume.

Using a similar method on a rat model, Turel et al.¹⁵ injected methylprednisolone into the area beneath the skin flaps following mastectomy and axillary lymph node removal. Although administering steroids was successful in avoiding seroma development and decreasing drainage volume, the author disclosed that due to the significant risk of wound infection, it should not be done frequently. Similar to what Turel et al.¹⁵ found, our investigation showed a decrease in drainage volume within the steroid group. In a controlled experimental study, Okholm et al. assessed whether a single intravenous dosage of glucocorticoids administered half and one hours before to surgical procedure was helpful in avoiding seroma development following axillary dissection and mastectomy.²⁰ There was a small drop in the drainage volume, total seroma volume, and number of seroma punctures during the first 2 postoperative days. The authors came to the conclusion that there was no discernible decrease in

drainage volume following steroid administration. The findings of Okholm and colleagues differs with the findings of our study, which showed that patients who received preventive steroids saw a considerable decrease in drainage volume.²⁵ According to the current study, individuals having a modified radical mastectomy benefit from preventive steroid injections. However, as steroids can raise the risk of infection, the danger of wound infection must be considered while handling such instances. In order to limit drainage volume and lower the incidence of seroma development, steroids must be administered in conjunction with a sufficient prophylactic antibiotic cover.

Conclusion

Our findings concluded that that the preventive steroid injections prescription in women following modified radical mastectomy were more effective in lowering mean drainage volume for female participants with stage I and II breast cancer when compared to normal saline.

References

1. Akram M, Iqbal M, Daniyal M, Khan AU. Awareness and current knowledge of breast cancer. *Biol Res.* 2017;50(1):33.
2. Khan MA. Effect Of Preoperative Intravenous Steroids On Seroma Formation After Modified Radical Mastectomy. *Journal of Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad: JAMC.* 2017;29(2):207-10.
3. Kong D, Liu Y, Li Z, Cui Q, Wang K, Wu K, et al. OK-432 (Sapylin) Reduces Seroma Formation After Axillary Lymphadenectomy in Breast Cancer. *J Invest Surg.* 2017;30(1):1-5.
4. Masud Rana AM, Ahmed SU, Alam F, Joardar AI, Chowdhury AK, Bari MA, et al. Electrosurgery Dissection versus Sharp Dissection: Effect on Early Postoperative Wound Complications in Modified Radical Mastectomy. *Mymensingh medical journal: MMJ.* 2019;28(3):634-40
5. Michalik T, Matkowski R, Biecek P, Szynglarewicz B. The use of ultrasonic scalpel lowers the risk of post-mastectomy seroma formation in obese women. *J Cancer.* 2019;10(15):3481-85.
6. Najeeb E, Rashid R, Zaffar S. Effect of Flap Fixation Technique in Modified Radical Mastectomy on Incidence of Postoperative Seroma Formation. *Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons--Pakistan : JCPSP.* 2019;29(5):410-13.
7. Watt-Boolsen S, Nielsen VB, Jensen J, Bak S. A study of the nature and origin of seroma after mastectomy. *Dan Med Bull.* 1989;36(5):487-9.
8. Aitken DR, Minton JP. Complications associated with mastectomy. *Surg Clin North Am.* 1983; 63(6):1331-52.
9. Axelsson CK, Quamme GM, Lanng C, Szecsi PB, Mortensen MB, Wegeberg B, et al. Local injection of methylprednisolone acetate to prevent seroma formation after mastectomy. *Dan Med J.* 2012;59(9):A4482.
10. Qvamme G, Axelsson CK, Lanng C, Mortensen M, Wegeberg B, Okholm M, et al. Randomized clinical trial of prevention of seroma formation after mastectomy by local methylprednisolone injection. *Br J Surg.* 2015;102(10): 1195-203.
11. Vijayalakshmi S. A comprehensive study on the effect of injection methylprednisolone in post mastectomy seroma. *IAIM.* 2018;5:43-7.
12. Tahmasebi S, Amirian A, Talei A. Axillary dissection in 44 breast cancer patients without seroma formation. *Middle East Journal of Cancer.* 2011; 2(2): 65-69.
13. Talha A, Ramadan R, Abdelhamid S, Hamdi S. Postmastectomy seroma: how much is it affected by serum levels of IL-6 and CRP and how much is it reduced by intravenous hydrocortisone injection?. *The Egyptian Journal of Surgery.* 2015; 34(1):17.
14. H Turner EJ, Benson JR, Winters ZE. Techniques in the prevention and management of seromas after breast surgery. *Future oncology.* 2014 ;10(6):1049-63.
15. Turel KS, Dilek ON, Akbulut G, Sahin DA, Ozenc E, Yazicioglu MB. Effects of local anti-inflammatory drugs on seroma formation after mastectomy and axillary lymph node dissection in the rat model. *Natl J Med Res.*

2014;4(3):228–31.

16. Kjetil H, Sem TK, Ellen S, Johan R. The prolonged postoperative analgesic effect when dexamethasone is added to a nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug (rofecoxib) before breast surgery. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*. 2007 ;105(2):481-6.

17. Wolde B, van den Wildenberg FJ, Keemers-Gels ME, Polat F, Strobbe LJ. Quilting Prevents seroma formation following breast cancer surgery: closing the dead space by quilting prevents seroma following axillary lymph node dissection and mastectomy. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2014 ;21(3):802–7.

18. Szecsi PB, Larsen J, Hørby J, Axelsson CK. Seroma Production after Breast Cancer Surgery has a ProInflammatory Component. *Open Breast Cancer J*. 2012;4(1):11–7.

19. Taghizadeh R, Shoaib T, Hart AM, Weiler-Mithoff EM. Triamcinolone reduces seroma re-accumulation in the extended latissimus dorsi donor site. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2008; 61: 636–642.

20. Okholm M, Axelsson CK. No effect of steroids on seroma formation after mastectomy. *Dan Med Bull*. 2011 ;58(2):A424